

EXHIBIT NEUFELD-2

**AUGUST 3, 2012 E-MAIL EXCHANGES BETWEEN DENNIS NEUFELD
AND ASSISTANT CITY CLERK STEPHANIE MIZUNO**

From: d-neufeld@comcast.net
To: "Stephanie Mizuno" <SMizuno@cityofsacramento.org>
Cc: "Dennis Neufeld" <d-neufeld@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, August 3, 2012 1:52:10 PM
Subject: Re: Ballot Measures' Letters

Stephanie;

Hard to believe, of the 6 available "argument" slots for Measures M, T and U, that the public's shot at democracy is reduced to 33%. For Measure U, one suspects the Council, with the Mayor's collusion, wants to avoid a hard-hitting "Against" message that would embarrass the Council's past decisions (eg garbage contracts, etc.), thereby increasing odds of failure.

What about the public getting a shot at the Rebuttal slots on all the measures? Side note. EOS has done research on how many people provided public comment to the Council over the past year (July thru June). Guess how many times Mac Worthy spoke to the Council in that period? (no fair going back thru the minutes).

Dennis

From: "Stephanie Mizuno" <SMizuno@cityofsacramento.org>
To: d-neufeld@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, August 3, 2012 11:34:20 AM
Subject: Re: Ballot Measures' Letters

On July 31st the council voted to authorize members to write both the pro/con arguments for measure U. And the pro for measure T. So those those arguments get selection priority.

Stephanie Mizuno, MMC
Assistant City Clerk
City of Sacramento

On Aug 3, 2012, at 9:57 AM, "d-neufeld@comcast.net" <d-neufeld@comcast.net> wrote:

Flash! I just heard earlier this morning (Fri) on KFBK that Mayor KJ wants to write the "Against" argument for Measure U. Does that make submissions from others (groups and individuals) out of luck? Or does his submission compete, via a lottery, with other submissions? Or was he just thinking outloud? Since the City Council took charge of both the For and Against arguments for Measure M, I'm suspecting they may do the same for Measure U.

Anything official come to your office?

Thanks!

Dennis

D1312